Document 207

Filed 06/17/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:07-cv-02688-CRB

Winston & Strawn LLP

SF:208861.1

1

4

7

8

Winston & Strawn LLP

14

I, Douglas W. Hall, declare as follows:

- I am counsel for Defendant SkyWest Airlines, Inc. ("SkyWest") in this matter, having 1. been admitted to appear before the Court pro hac vice.
- In August 2007, Plaintiff Air Line Pilots Association, International ("ALPA") filed a 2. petition with the National Mediation Board ("NMB") seeking to become the collective bargaining representative of SkyWest's pilots.
- The NMB counted the ballots in the election on November 5, 2007. Less than 35% of 3. SkyWest's pilots voted for representation by ALPA, and thus the NMB dismissed ALPA's petition.
- On February 21, 2008, SkyWest served written discovery on ALPA, including 4. Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff ALPA, and Defendant's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiff ALPA.
- On April 29, 2008, SkyWest served on ALPA a notice of deposition pursuant to Fed. 5. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6).
- Among the topics of discovery in the interrogatories, document requests, and Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice were the following:
  - The compensation provided by any airline whose pilots are represented by ALPA to any local or national ALPA officer, employee, agent, representative, or committee member. (Interrogatory No. 3, Request for Production No. 5.)
  - The financial support provided to ALPA by any airline whose pilots are represented by ALPA. (Interrogatory No. 4, Request for Production No. 6.)
  - The in-kind support provided to ALPA by any airline whose pilots are represented by ALPA. (Interrogatory No. 5, Request for Production No. 7.)
  - Litigation, including but not limited to Barthelemey v. Air Lines Pilots Ass'n, 897 F.2d 999 (9th Cir. 1990), in which compensation or financial support to ALPA and/or any local or national ALPA officer, employee, agent, representative, or committee member was alleged to violate Section 2, Third and/or Section 2, Fourth of the Railway Labor Act. (Interrogatory No. 13, Request for Production No. 30.)
- At the request of counsel for ALPA, SkyWest twice agreed to extend the time for 7. ALPA to respond to written discovery. ALPA ultimately served its responses on May 5, 2008.
- ALPA's response to Request for Production Nos. 5, 6, and 7 were insufficient 8. because it only produced excerpts from several collective bargaining agreements between ALPA and

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

other carriers	whose pilots ALPA represents. ALPA's responses to Interrogatory Nos. 3, 4, and 3
were insuffici	ent because they merely referred back to the same collective bargaining agreements
0	AT DA objected to Request for Production No. 30 in its entirety and produced no

- objected to Request for Production No. 30 in its entire documents in response thereto. Likewise, ALPA objected to Interrogatory No. 13 in its entirety and provided no response thereto.
- I spoke with ALPA's counsel, Elizabeth Ginsburg by telephone on multiple occasions 10. to discuss these issues, including on June 3, 2008 and June 10, 2008.
- Ms. Ginsburg told me that ALPA's position is that it will not produce any documents 11. responsive to Request for Production Nos. 5, 6, 7, and 30, other than the collective bargaining agreement excerpts that had been produced. She has not asserted that there are no such documents, just that ALPA will not produce them.
- Ms. Ginsburg also told me that ALPA will not supplement its responses to 12. Interrogatory Nos. 3, 4, 5 and 13, and that it would not produce a deposition witness to testify regarding the four topics set forth above absent a court order compelling it to do so.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 17, 2008.

Douglas W. Hall